

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,991	03/30/2004	Yasuji Suzuki	713-584A	2655
22429	7590 01/11/2005		EXAMINER	
LOWE HAUPTMAN GILMAN AND BERNER, LLP			KOCH, GEORGE R	
1700 DIAGON	NAL ROAD			
SUITE 300 /31	10		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRI	A, VA 22314		1734	
			DATE MAILED: 01/11/2004	_

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			//_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Offic Action Summany	10/811,991	SUZUKI, YASUJI	
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	George R. Koch III	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	November 2004.		
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	·
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-14 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3, 8-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing(s	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a line</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in Ap riority documents have been r eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage	e
Attachment(s)	•		•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		immary (PTO-413)	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Art Unit: 1734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-2 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yasui (JP-04363163 A).

Yasui discloses a method for forming a coated film of a thermoplastic material (see abstract - which recites thermoplastic resin) on a region of at least a part of an inner cylinder so as to extend in a whole circumferential direction thereof (as shown in Figure 2), comprising the steps of providing a paste applying machine (see Figure 1, items 7-13 or Figure 3, items 7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 15a) for discharging a molten paste (i.e., the resin primer) of the thermoplastic material kept molten by heating from a distal end of a nozzle (see abstract, which recites "thermally sprayed". Thermally sprayed defines that the material will be heated and melted, which indicates that the material will achieve

Art Unit: 1734

molten paste form), arranging said nozzle in space in the cylinder so that the molten paste is discharged toward the inner peripheral surface of the cylinder (see either Figure 1 or 3), moving the nozzle along a rotational center of the cylinder (via structure 9 of Figure 1, or structures 15 and 15a of Figure 3, which are described in the specification as rotary elements) within a range opposite to the region while rotating the cylinder (via structures 4, 4a and 5 of Figure 1) in said circumferential direction and discharging the molten pass from the nozzle (shown in Figures 1 and 3), and spreading the molten paste applied to the inner peripheral surface (as shown in Figures 1, 2, and 3). The reference does not specifically recite that the spreading occurs by means of centrifugal force acting on the cylinder being rotated, to thereby wholly cover the region with molten paste. However, the reference shows that the cylinder is rotated during the coating operation (Figures 1, 2 and 3). The rotation of the cylinder inherently creates a rotational force, which creates spreading and thereby wholly covers the region with molten paste.

As to claim 2, Yasui shows that the molten paste discharged onto the inner peripheral surface is not scattered to a region other than the inner peripheral surface. Therefore, Yasui inherently discloses that the viscosity of the thermally treated molten paste, the speed of the cylinder, and speed of movement of the nozzle have been determined so as to prevent the molten paste discharged onto the inner peripheral surface from the nozzle from being scattered to a region other than said region (i.e., other than the inner peripheral surface).

Art Unit: 1734

As to claim 12, Yasui discloses that the spreading step overlaps the moving step (as shown in Figure 1).

As to claim 13, this movement results in a spiral pattern.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 5. Claims 1, 2, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasui in view of either of Feder (US Patent 4,687,531) or Potoczky (US Patent 4,687,531).

Yasui discloses a method for forming a coated film of a thermoplastic material (see abstract - which recites thermoplastic resin) on a region of at least a part of an inner cylinder so as to extend in a whole circumferential direction

Art Unit: 1734

thereof (as shown in Figure 2), comprising the steps of providing a paste applying machine (see Figure 1, items 7-13 or Figure 3, items 7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15 and 15a) for discharging a molten paste (i.e., the resin primer) of the thermoplastic material kept molten by heating from a distal end of a nozzle (see abstract, which recites "thermally sprayed". Thermally sprayed defines that the material will be heated and melted, which indicates that the material will achieve molten paste form), arranging said nozzle in space in the cylinder so that the molten paste is discharged toward the inner peripheral surface of the cylinder (see either Figure 1 or 3), moving the nozzle along a rotational center of the cylinder (via structure 9 of Figure 1, or structures 15 and 15a of Figure 3, which are described in the specification as rotary elements) within a range opposite to the region while rotating the cylinder (via structures 4, 4a and 5 of Figure 1) in said circumferential direction and discharging the molten pass from the nozzle (shown in Figures 1 and 3), and spreading the molten paste applied to the inner peripheral surface (as shown in Figures 1, 2, and 3).

However, the reference does not specifically recite that the spreading occurs by means of centrifugal force acting on the cylinder being rotated, to thereby wholly cover the region with molten paste. One can interpret this as not disclosing a rotational force which creates spreading and thereby wholly covers the region with molten paste.

In any event, both Feder and Potokczy disclose thermal spraying of a molten material onto the interior substrate. Furthermore, Feder discloses that the rotation of the substrate distributes the fluidized particles into lamina, and that

Art Unit: 1734

further centrifugal forces automatically distribute the particles effectively (see abstract). Additionally, Potoczky discloses that centrifugal forces evenly distribute molten material (column 2, lines 40-44). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized rotation and centrifugal forces to spread the material effectively and uniformly.

As to claim 2, Yasui as modified by Feder and Potoczky shows that the molten paste discharged onto the inner peripheral surface is not scattered to a region other than the inner peripheral surface. Therefore, Yasui as modified by Feder and Potoczky inherently discloses that the viscosity of the thermally treated molten paste, the speed of the cylinder, and speed of movement of the nozzle have been determined so as to prevent the molten paste discharged onto the inner peripheral surface from the nozzle from being scattered to a region other than said region (i.e., other than the inner peripheral surface).

As to claim 12, Yasui and Feder disclose that the spreading step overlaps the moving step (as shown in Figure 1 of Yasui and in columns 6-7 of Feder).

As to claim 13, this movement disclosed in both Yasui and Feder results in a spiral pattern.

6. Claim 3, 8-11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yasui or Yasui in view of either of Feder and Potoczky.

Yasui discloses the method of claim 1, including the steps of providing a paste applying machine as claimed, arranging the nozzle in space as claimed,

Art Unit: 1734

moving the nozzle as claimed and spreading the molten paste as claimed (see rejection of claim 1 above for citation and details). Furthermore as to claim 2, Yasui also inherently discloses these additional limitations of since the Yasui inherently has a rotation speed of the cylinder (since the cylinder is rotated), a speed of movement of the nozzle (since the nozzle is disclosed as moving), and a viscosity is present (since the resin is thermally sprayed as a resin, i.e., viscous material) and since the molten paste is discharged onto the inner peripheral surface from the nozzle and not scattered onto a region other than the inner peripheral surface.

Yasui does not disclose said molten paste is discharged from said nozzle under a pressure of 1 kg/cm² or less under the conditions that said viscosity of said molten paste is set to be within a range of between 50cp and 100 cp, said rotational speed of said cylinder is set to be within a range of between 2700 rpm and 3300 rpm, said speed of movement of said nozzle is set to be within a range of between 0.055 m/s and 0.08 m/s and a distance between said distal end of said nozzle and said inner peripheral surface of said cylinder is set to be within a range of between 3mm and 7mm.

However, the apparatus of Yasui discloses the general conditions of the claim, i.e., that the resin is sprayed in a heated state (and thus has a viscosity and a spray pressure), that the cylinder rotates (and thus has a rpm setting), that the nozzle moves (and thus has a nozzle speed), that the nozzle is spaced from the cylinder (and thus has a distance from the distal end). Where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover

Application/Control Number: 10/811,991 Page 8

Art Unit: 1734

the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2<sup>nd</sup> 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955) (and see MPEP 2144.05). Thus, it would have been well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to have selected the claimed ranges and settings as part of routine experimentation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected, in the process of routine experimentation to optimize the process of Yasui, the particular ranges and setting claimed.

### Response to Arguments

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 11/09/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 8. Applicant argues 1) that Yasui does not disclose molten thermoplastic paste. This is not persuasive. Yasui discloses thermally spraying a thermoplastic material. The thermal action melts the thermoplastic material into a molten paste. Applicant argues 2) that Yasui does not disclose rotational or centrifugal operation, and that the Japanese text or translation does not disclose this movement. However, Yasui's figures show 1) rollers (items 4 and 4a), a stationary nozzle (item 7) and 3) molten thermoplastic on the upper end of the pipe. Therefore, Yasui's figures disclose the rotational/spiral/centrifugal motion.
- 9. In any event, both Feder and Potoczky disclose that rotational, spiral, or centrifugal motion is used to distribute materials effectively.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 1734

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Koch III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1230 (TDD only). If the applicant cannot make a direct TDD-to-TDD call, the applicant can communicate by calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-800-877-8339 and giving the operator the above TDD number. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George R. Koch III Patent Examiner Art Unit 1734

GRK 1/02/2004